



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,285	04/09/2004	Shankar Natarajan	CISCP120C1/7379	1120
22434	7590	08/20/2009		
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 70250			WILSON, ROBERT W	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/821,285

Applicant(s)

NATARAJAN ET AL.

Examiner

ROBERT W. WILSON

Art Unit

2419

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT W. WILSON.(3) JEFFREY KUHN.(2) JEFFREY WEAVER.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,495,250 & 7,185,081.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner explained that the prior art Lin has a network management system changes frequency of reporting based upon network parameter which are compared to rules or policy. The examiner believes that the combination of the two prior art references reads on the claims of applicant's claimed invention and that the rejection is reasonable and just.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Robert W Wilson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2419